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## Purpose

This technical memo documents the Texas Water Development Board's (TWDB) procedure for estimating combined freshwater inflow data and the specifics related to producing hydrology dataset versions \#TWDB201001, \#TWDB201004, and \#TWDB201101 for the Nueces Estuary. The most recent update, version \#TWDB201101, includes newly acquired diversion and return data obtained from the Nueces River Authority (NRA), but compiled by HDR, Inc.

## Introduction

The goal of the Texas Water Development Board's Coastal Hydrology program is to provide estimates of historical freshwater inflows into Texas bays and estuaries to support environmental and water planning studies. The earliest freshwater inflow estimates were compiled in a series of reports published by the Texas Department of Water Resources between 1980 and 1983. Monthly inflows to the seven major estuaries in Texas for the period from 1941-1976 were estimated in those studies, with estimates for the Nueces Estuary published in Chapter 4 of LP-108, Nueces and Mission-Aransas Estuaries: A Study of the Influence of Freshwater Inflows (TDWR 1981, available on the TWDB website or upon request).

Inflow records for each estuary have been updated periodically since then in support of ongoing research and planning studies both within and external to TWDB. Additionally, subsequent updates are provided in daily, as well as monthly format. This report covers the most recent update of freshwater inflow estimates for the Nueces Estuary and extends the hydrology through 2009. Therefore, complete hydrology is available for this estuary for 1941-2009, with daily estimates of inflows available only after 1977.

## Estimates of Combined Freshwater Inflows

Estimates of hydrology for the areas draining to the Nueces Estuary include gaged and ungaged portions of the Nueces river basin, as well as several small coastal basins. The combination of Gaged Inflows + Ungaged Inflows + Return Flows - Diversions below the last gage station provide for estimates of Combined Freshwater Inflow to the estuary. In addition to the aforementioned water budget components, an interbasin transfer of water since 1998 from Lake Texana in the Lavaca-Navidad River basin is an important component of additional flow in the Nueces River basin. The accounting of this additional water is discussed in the diversion and return section of this report. The Freshwater Inflow Balance of the estuary consists of Combined Inflows + Precipitation on the estuary - Evaporation from the estuary. Although inflow estimates are updated on an ongoing basis, there are two distinct periods of estimation. Before 1977, inflow estimates are available only in monthly intervals. Starting in 1977 and thereafter, inflow estimates became available on a daily basis.

## 1941-1976 Period of Record

This period of record uses measurements from U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) stream gages along with rainfall-runoff estimates from a water yield model to determine flows in gaged and ungaged watersheds (TDWR 1981). In these early estimates of coastal hydrology, flows in ungaged areas were adjusted for known municipal, and industrial return flows obtained from the Texas Department of Water Resources (TDWR) self reporting system (TDWR 1981). Diversion records and agricultural return flow from the TDWR Water Usage System also were used in estimates of flows in ungaged areas. Data on inflows to the Nueces Estuary for 1941-1976 are available as monthly or annual estimates.

## 1977-2009 Period of Record

The 1977-2009 period of record uses measurements from USGS stream gages along with rainfall-runoff estimates from the Texas Rainfall-Runoff (TxRR) model, adjusted for known diversion and return flows obtained from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) or equivalent agency, the South Texas Water Master (STWM), and the TWDB Irrigation Water Use estimates. In some cases, diversion and return data may be obtained through other entities, such as in this report where recent diversion and return data were obtained from the Nueces River Authority (NRA) and compiled by HDR, Inc. for the period from 2000-2009. These data augment the official data reported by the TCEQ and STWM by filling in missing or incomplete data records. Data on inflows to the Nueces Estuary for 1977-2009 are available as daily, monthly, or annual estimates.

## Gaged Watersheds

Two USGS stream gages have been used to develop the gaged inflow component of combined inflows to the Nueces Estuary. Table 1 lists the USGS stream gages and the corresponding period of record utilized in estimating combined inflows. For recently updated hydrology (version \#TWDB201101), approved USGS stream gage data was available through November 2009 and was provisional for the month of December 2009. The USGS gage on the Nueces River at Calallen was not used to develop the gaged inflow component of this hydrology dataset, because the gage became operational in 1989, which was after the last TWDB watershed delineation effort. However, it may be used to estimate inflow in other studies, such as to estimate inflows for hydrodynamic and salinity transport model simulations.

Table 1. USGS stream gages used to develop the gaged inflow component of combined inflows to the Nueces Estuary. Gage number, location, and period of record utilized in estimating inflows are shown.

| Estuary | Gage Station Number | Gage Location | Utilized Period of Record |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Nueces | 08211000 | Nueces River near Mathis | $1941-2009^{*}$ |
|  | 08211520 | Oso Creek at Corpus Christi | $1977-2009^{*}$ |

*Stream gage data were provisional for the month of December 2009.

## Ungaged Watersheds

The number of ungaged watersheds for which ungaged inflows are estimated has changed through time. Initial estimates were determined based on seven ungaged watersheds (watersheds \#20005, \#21010, \#22010, \#22011, \#22012, \#22013, and \#22014; Figure 1). In 1972, USGS streamgage \#08211520 became gaged, and thus, ungaged watershed \#22010 became gaged; However, TWDB did not use this data until 1977. Also, in 1977, watershed \#22015 was added to the division of watersheds (Figure 2). Therefore, current estimates also are based on seven ungaged watersheds (\#20005, \#21010, \#22011, \#22012, \#22013, \#22014, and \#22015). Additionally, the area of the ungaged watersheds changed from initial estimates that were used in LP-108 (TDWR 1981; Table 2). The change in area of ungaged watersheds from the LP-108 estimate of 697 square miles to current estimates of 547 square miles affect estimates of the ungaged flow component.

Table 2. Estimates of ungaged watershed area from initial LP-108 (TDWR 1981) estimates to current estimates. These changes affect the ungaged flow component estimates.

| Watershed ID Number | LP-108 <br> (Square Miles) <br> $\mathbf{1 9 4 1 - 1 9 7 6}$ | Current Area <br> (Square Miles) <br> $\mathbf{1 9 7 7 - 2 0 0 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20005 | 133 | 74.18 |
| 21010 | 290 | 272.83 |
| 22010 | 90.3 | Gaged Watershed |
| 22011 | 100 | 134.21 |
| 22012 | 24.5 | 18.5 |
| 22013 | 5.9 | 25.67 |
| 22014 | 53.1 | 21.96 |
| 22015 | Not Applicable | 7.47 |
| Total Ungaged <br> (except 22015) | $\mathbf{6 9 6 . 8}$ |  |

The ungaged inflow component is estimated using a rainfall-runoff model. Before 1977, stream flows in ungaged watersheds were obtained using a water yield model which required daily precipitation, Soil Conservation Service average curve numbers, and a soil depletion index (TDWR 1981). This water yield model provided for monthly estimates of ungaged inflows - not daily. TWDB does not have daily estimates of ungaged inflows for the period prior to 1977.

Since 1977, however, TWDB has used the Texas Rainfall-Runoff (TxRR) model to estimate daily stream flows in ungaged watersheds. This model is conceptually similar to the Agricultural Research Service (ARS) rainfall-runoff model which is based on the Soil Conservation Service's curve number method to estimate direct runoff from a precipitation event. TxRR, however, has three key differences: (1) use of simpler and more straightforward mathematics, (2) introduction of 12 monthly depletion factors, instead of a single depletion factor as used in the ARS Model, and (3) introduction of a base flow
component into the model. TxRR has been used to estimate daily stream flows from over 50 coastal ungaged watersheds as a part of the Coastal Hydrology program to study the effect of freshwater inflows to Texas bays and estuaries.


Figure 1. Ungaged watershed delineation used to determine ungaged inflows to the Nueces Estuary from 1941 to 1976. Solid triangle and circle represent USGS Streamflow Gages. Ungaged watersheds are identified by hatching. Please note: watershed \#22010 became gaged in 1972, but TWDB did not use this data until 1977.


Figure 2. Ungaged watershed delineation used from 1977 to 2009 to determine ungaged inflows to the Nueces Estuary. Watershed \#22015 was added to the watershed delineation. Watershed areas also changed from previous estimates used in LP-108. Please note: watershed \#22010 is actually a gaged watershed, but is not reflected as such in this Figure.

## Diversion and Return Points

Rainfall-runoff estimates from the TxRR model are adjusted for known diversion and return flows. The major water rights and holders and the major discharge permits and dischargers providing return flows to the Nueces Estuary are listed in Table 3, with locations of those permits shown in Figure 3.

Table 3. Major water rights and discharge permits in the Nueces River basin below the USGS stream gages on the Nueces River at Mathis and on Oso Creek at Corpus Christi.

| DIVERSION | Water Right Number | Owner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2464 | City of Corpus Christi* |
|  | 2466 | Nueces County WCID 3* |
|  | unknown | Flint Hills* |
|  | unknown | Hoechst Celanese* |
|  | unknown | San Patricio Municipal Water District* |
| RETURNS | NPDES Numbert | Owner |
|  | TX0004685 | American Chrome and Chemicals LP |
|  | TX0006599 | Flint Hills Resources LP |
|  | TX0006904 | Valero Refining - Texas LP |
|  | TX0006211 | CITGO Refining and Chemicals Co LP |
|  | TX0006289 | Flint Hills Resources LP |
|  | TX0008907 | E I DuPont De Nemours \& Co |
|  | TX0063355 | Valero Refining - Texas LP |
|  | TX0076996 | Equistar Chemicals LP |
|  | TX0007889 | US Dept of the Navy |
|  | TX0096474 | Trigeant LTD |
|  | TX0104876 | Occidental Chemical Corporation, Oxymar and Ingleside Center LLC |
|  | TX0119865 | Texas A\&M University System |
|  | TX0083062 | City of Gregory |
|  | TX0020389 | City of Robstown |
|  | TX0047074 | City of Corpus Christi |
|  | TX0047058 | City of Corpus Christi |
|  | TX0047066 | City of Corpus Christi |
|  | TX0047082 | City of Corpus Christi |
|  | TX0020401 | City of Ingleside |
|  | TX0055433 | City of Portland |
|  | TX0025682 | City of Aransas Pass |
|  | TX0024287 | Nueces County WCID 4 |
|  | TX0066664 | Sublight Enterprises INC |
|  | TX0076767 | Corpus Christi Peoples Baptist Church |
|  | TX0078743 | GM Fabricators LP dba Gulf Marine Fabricators |
|  | TX0110337 | San Patricio County MUD 1* |
|  | TX0123676 | Tennessee Pipeline Construction CO |

*Diversions and returns denoted with asterisk are above the USGS stream gage on the Nueces River at Calallen. †National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)


Figure 3. Location of USGS stream gages (red stars), permitted diversion points (green circles), wastewater outfalls (purple circles) and City of Corpus Christi outfalls (blue stars) in the Nueces Estuary watershed. Watersheds \#21010, \#22012, and the western portion of \#20005 drain to the Nueces Delta and are highlighted in blue.

An interbasin transfer of water from Lake Texana is consumed by the City of Corpus Christi and the San Patricio Municipal Water District, with any unconsumed water being returned to the Nueces River basin. Thus, water that drains to the Nueces Estuary from the interbasin transfer is accounted for in return flow from the City of Corpus Christi and San Patricio Municipal Water District. However, since the interbasin transfer is not accounted for in the diversions, but rather only in return flows, the net diversion curve (Figure 4) shows a steep decline (a function of the increase in return flow) after 1998.


Figure 4. Net diversions (diversions-returns) in acre-feet per year in the Nueces River basin. The steep decline in net diversion after 1998 is due to an increase in return flows from the City of Corpus Christi and San Patricio Municipal Water District resulting from water obtained via an interbasin transfer from Lake Texana.

## Estimates of Freshwater Inflow Balance

Total Freshwater Inflow to the estuary may include estimates of Combined Freshwater Inflow to the estuary + precipitation on the estuary. The Freshwater Inflow Balance, then, considers the effect of evaporation from the estuary. Due to limitations on estimates of evaporation throughout the period of record, estimates of the freshwater inflow balance are available in monthly intervals.

The bay surface area which was used to calculate precipitation onto and evaporation from the estuary has changed over time. Prior to 1977, the bay surface area was estimated to be 171.55 square miles (109,795 acres); whereas, after 1977, the surface area was estimated to be 245.31 square miles ( 157,440 acres; Table 4). Using a larger bay surface area then, results in an increase in the annual estimates for precipitation and evaporation from the estuary after 1977. Note however that these annual estimates are rarely used in freshwater inflow analyses. They are presented for descriptive purposes only, but when applied to modeling analyses (such as in the TxBLEND hydrodynamic and salinity transport model) a rate of evaporation or precipitation is used.

Table 4. Comparison of bay segment areas used to estimate evaporation from and precipitation onto the surface of the Nueces Estuary between LP-108 (TDWR 1981) and current estimates.

| Bay Segment ID Number | LP-108 <br> (Square Miles) <br> $\mathbf{1 9 4 1 - 1 9 7 6}$ | Current Area <br> (Square Miles) <br> $\mathbf{1 9 7 7 - 2 0 0 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24810 | Not Specified | 177.78 |
| 24820 | Not Specified | 37.87 |
| 24830 | Not Specified | 23.04 |
| 24850 | Not Specified | 6.62 |
| Total Bay | 171.55 | 245.31 |

## Precipitation

Direct precipitation onto the surface of the Nueces Estuary was calculated using Thiessen-weighted precipitation techniques as described in LP-108 (TDWR 1981). Station based rainfall data were obtained from the National Weather Service (NWS) and processed using Arc/Info Macro Language (AML). Bay segments \#24810, \#24820, \#24830, and \#24850 were used to calculate precipitation on the bay by summing the area-weighted rainfall of the Thiessen polygon fragments over the bay. Figure 5 shows the Thiessen polygons that are coincident with the rainfall stations and used to calculate watershed rainfall.

Figure 6 shows annual estimates of precipitation onto the surface of the bay as prepared for hydrology version \#TWDB201101 for the Nueces Estuary. However, since precipitation estimates were affected by the increase in bay segments, Figure 7 shows annual estimates of precipitation on the surface of the bay adjusted for the change in bay area. Precipitation values in the earlier period of record, from 1941 1976, were adjusted with a ratio of $245.31 / 171.55$ to provide for comparable precipitation estimates between the two time periods. Hydrology version \#TWDB201101 for the Nueces Estuary does not reflect these adjustments.


Figure 5. Rainfall stations $(\leqslant)$ and Thiessen polygons (red lines) used to estimate direct precipitation onto the Nueces Estuary and associated ungaged watersheds.


Figure 6. Annual estimates of precipitation on the estuary (in acre-feet per year) over the period from 1941 2009. Precipitation estimates prior to 1977 are based on a smaller bay surface area, 172 mi. ${ }^{2}$ versus the current estimate of $245 \mathrm{mi} .^{2}$.


Figure 7. Area-adjusted annual precipitation estimates on the estuary (in acre-feet/year) over the period from 1941-2009. Values in the period of 1941-1976 were adjusted with the ratio of 245.31/171.55.

## Evaporation

Evaporation was calculated for the surface of the bay using TWDB and NWS pan evaporation data to estimate evaporation rates. Bay segments used to calculate evaporation include segments \#24810, \#24820, \#24830, and \#24850 which are located within TWDB quadrangle \#1010 (Figure 8). Total water evaporated from bay segments is calculated by multiplying a segment's area by observed evaporation rates. Evaporation rates were determined with a GIS-based program, ThEvap, using TWDB and NWS pan evaporation data. The ThEvap program replaced an older program, WD0300, previously run by the Texas Department of Water Resources (TDWR)
(http://midgewater.twdb.state.tx.us/Evaporation/evap.html).


Figure 8. TWDB evaporation quadrangles used to estimate evaporation for the Nueces Estuary. TWDB quadrangle \#1010 is used to estimate evaporation from Nueces Estuary segments \#24810, \#24820, \#24830, and \#24850.

Annual estimates of evaporation from the surface of the estuary are shown in Figure 9. The increase in evaporation estimates after 1976 is due to the use of increased bay surface area estimates. Figure 10 shows the pre-1977 evaporation estimates adjusted for the more recent approximation of bay surface area by using a ratio of 245.31/171.55; however, hydrology version \#TWDB201101 for the Nueces Estuary, as presented in Appendix B, does not reflect these adjustments.


Figure 9. Annual estimates of evaporation (in acre-feet per year) from the estuary over the study period from 1941-2009. Note the change in evaporation estimates after 1976. Evaporation estimates prior to 1977 are based on a smaller bay surface area, $172 \mathrm{mi}^{.}{ }^{2}$ versus the current estimate of $245 \mathrm{mi}^{2}{ }^{2}$


Figure 10. Area-adjusted annual evaporation (in acre-feet per year) from the estuary over the period from 1941-2009. Values in the period of 1941-1976 were adjusted with the ratio of 245.31/171.55.

## Hydrology: Version \#TWDB201001

TWDB coastal hydrology version \#TWDB201001 for the Nueces Estuary included gaged and ungaged inflows through December 2008. Raw diversion data were obtained from the Texas Department of Water Resources (TDWR) Water Usage System for the period from 1941 through 1988 and from the South Texas Water Master (STWM) for the period from 1989 through October 2005. Industrial and municipal return flow data were obtained from the TDWR self-reporting system from 1941 through 1976 and from TCEQ for the period from 1977 to 2007. Additional return flow data were obtained from TWDB's agricultural return flow estimates through December 2005.

## Hydrology: Version \#TWDB201004

TWDB coastal hydrology version \#TWDB201004 for the Nueces Estuary extends the previous version by updating gaged, ungaged, diversion, and return flow data to provide inflow estimates through 2009. Gaged data were extended using approved data through November 2009 and provisional data for December 2009. Ungaged inflows were updated using approved daily precipitation data from the National Weather Service through November 2009, with provisional data for December 2009. Diversions were the same as in version \#TWDB201001 through October 2005 and returns were the same as in \#TWDB201001 through 2007, but both of the STWM and TCEQ datasets were extended to 2009. However, there are missing diversion data for November - December 2005 and throughout 2006. Agricultural return flow data obtained from TWDB were extended through December 2007.

## Hydrology: Version \#TWDB201101

TWDB coastal hydrology version \#TWDB201101 for the Nueces Estuary extends the previous version by updating diversion and return flow data obtained from the Nueces River Authority (provided to TWDB by HDR, Inc). Diversions and returns were the same as in version \#TWDB201004 through 1999, but the additional data obtained from the Nueces River Authority were used for the period between 2000 2009. Figure 10 displays the combined annual surface inflow to the Nueces Estuary as calculated by version \#TWDB201101. This dataset and a watershed map can be obtained on the TWDB website: http://midgewater.twdb.state.tx.us/bays estuaries/hydrologypage.html.


Figure 10. Combined annual surface inflow to the Nueces Estuary (version \#TWDB201101) for the period from 1941-2009, including updated diversion and return data obtained from the Nueces River Authority via HDR, Inc.

## Discussion

Version \#TWDB201101 of coastal hydrology for the Nueces Estuary is the most up-to-date data set representing not only combined freshwater inflows but also the individual components of inflows (i.e., gaged flows, ungaged flows, diversions, return flows) for this estuary. Appendix A summarizes recent updates, by version, to hydrology for the Nueces Estuary. Appendix B lists the annual combined freshwater inflow along with the four components, as well as estimates for evaporation and precipitation on the estuary and the total freshwater inflow balance of the Nueces Estuary as calculated by version \#TWDB201101. Appendix C lists summary statistics for the inflow components during the 1941 through 2009 period.

During the period from 1941 to 2009, gaged inflow from the Nueces River accounted for approximately 90 percent of combined inflow, while ungaged flows accounted for about 15 percent of combined inflow. Since diversions exceed return flows in this basin, net diversions total -5 percent of combined inflows. In the Nueces River basin, average annual diversions were about 13 percent of combined freshwater inflows, and average annual return flows were about eight percent of inflows. Average combined surface inflow to the Nueces Estuary over the study period was 586,854 acre-feet per year, and ranged from a minimum of 42,551 acre-feet in 1962 to a maximum of 2,744,260 acre-feet in 1971.

Finally, when considering the total freshwater inflow balance, evaporation from and precipitation onto the surface of the estuary also must be considered. In 31 out of 69 years, there was a negative freshwater inflow balance, which indicates that evaporation exceeded precipitation and combined inflow to the estuary during periods of drought and reduced freshwater inflow. During this period of record, annual average evaporation was 670,984 acre-feet, while annual average precipitation was 345,737 acre-feet over the surface of the Nueces Estuary. Thus, the average freshwater inflow balance for the Nueces Estuary was approximately 261,606 acre-feet per year. However, as Appendix B shows, wide variations from the mean freshwater inflow balance occur, ranging from a minimum of -563,830 acre-feet in 1984 to a maximum of 2,476,966 acre-feet in 1971.

## Literature Cited

TDWR. 1981. Nueces and Mission-Aransas Estuaries: A study of the influence of freshwater inflows. LP108. Texas Department of Water Resources, Austin, Texas.

| Estuary | Version | Date Range | Gaged Flows | Ungaged Flows | Diversions | Return Flows | Creation Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nueces | TWDB201001 | 1941-2008 | 1941-2008 | 1941-2008 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1941-10/2005, } \\ \text { TDWR } \\ 1941-1988 \\ \text { STWM } \\ 1989-10 / 2005 \end{gathered}$ | 1941-2007, TDWR 1941-1976 TCEQ 1977-2007 TWDB 1977-2005 (Agricultural) | 01/2010 |
|  | TWDB201002 | Dataset does n | t exist. |  |  |  |  |
|  | TWDB201003 | Dataset does n | t exist. |  |  |  |  |
|  | TWDB201004 | 1941-2009 | 1941-2009, provisional for 12/09 | 1941-2009, <br> Precipitation data provisional for 12/09 | 1941-2009, <br> TDWR <br> 1941-1988 <br> STWM $1989-2009$ <br> *Missing data for <br> 11/2005-12/2006 | 1941-2009, TDWR $1941-1976$ TCEQ 1977-2009 TWDB 1977-2007 (Agricultural) | 05/2011 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1941-2009, |  |
|  | TWDB201101 | 1941-2009 | 1941-2009, provisional for 12/09 | 1941-2009, <br> Precipitation data provisional for 12/09 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1941-2009, } \\ \text { TDWR } \\ \text { 1941-1988 } \\ \text { STWM } \\ 1989-1999 \\ \text { NRA/HDR } \\ \text { 2000-2009 } \end{gathered}$ | TDWR 1941-1976 TCEQ 1977-1999 TWDB 1977-2007 (Agricultural) NRA/HDR 2000-2009 | 05/2011 |

Appendix B. Annual hydrology for the Nueces Estuary, version \#TWDB201101, with updated diversion and return data obtained from the Nueces River Authority via HDR, Inc. Included are estimates of gaged and ungaged (modeled) inflows, diversions and return flows, combined surface inflow to the estuary, as well as evaporation and direct precipitation on the estuary and the total freshwater balance of the estuary. All values are in units of acre-feet.

| Year | Gage | Ungaged | Diversion | Return | Combined Surface Inflow* | Evaporation | Precipitation | Freshwater Balance** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1941 | 1,337,280 | 322,292 | 16,000 | 9,000 | 1,652,572 | 431,000 | 419,053 | 1,640,625 |
| 1942 | 1,276,040 | 100,460 | 14,000 | 10,000 | 1,372,500 | 438,000 | 300,107 | 1,234,607 |
| 1943 | 204,540 | 20,068 | 15,000 | 10,000 | 219,608 | 512,000 | 254,358 | -38,034 |
| 1944 | 742,940 | 29,007 | 20,000 | 12,000 | 763,947 | 448,000 | 240,634 | 556,581 |
| 1945 | 488,860 | 40,141 | 26,000 | 14,000 | 517,001 | 512,000 | 244,295 | 249,296 |
| 1946 | 1,306,320 | 87,052 | 24,000 | 14,000 | 1,383,372 | 449,000 | 304,682 | 1,239,054 |
| 1947 | 323,590 | 104,747 | 29,000 | 15,000 | 414,337 | 469,000 | 305,596 | 250,933 |
| 1948 | 137,790 | 140,323 | 28,000 | 14,000 | 264,113 | 512,000 | 204,036 | -43,851 |
| 1949 | 907,490 | 59,679 | 30,000 | 15,000 | 952,169 | 447,000 | 277,232 | 782,401 |
| 1950 | 204,470 | 115,055 | 36,000 | 17,000 | 300,525 | 521,000 | 140,903 | -79,572 |
| 1951 | 428,250 | 80,375 | 38,000 | 18,000 | 488,625 | 540,000 | 244,295 | 192,920 |
| 1952 | 160,270 | 52,824 | 40,000 | 19,000 | 192,094 | 521,000 | 195,803 | -133,103 |
| 1953 | 636,940 | 140,378 | 47,000 | 22,000 | 752,318 | 513,000 | 219,591 | 458,909 |
| 1954 | 242,730 | 10,818 | 51,000 | 23,000 | 225,548 | 540,000 | 144,565 | -169,887 |
| 1955 | 129,470 | 43,730 | 50,000 | 25,000 | 148,200 | 714,000 | 198,546 | -367,254 |
| 1956 | 136,720 | 73,662 | 54,000 | 27,000 | 183,382 | 649,000 | 197,634 | -267,984 |
| 1957 | 1,546,500 | 8,993 | 53,000 | 27,000 | 1,529,493 | 587,000 | 254,359 | 1,196,852 |
| 1958 | 1,413,150 | 115,928 | 54,000 | 26,000 | 1,501,078 | 557,000 | 387,943 | 1,332,021 |
| 1959 | 416,620 | 29,159 | 53,000 | 26,000 | 418,779 | 521,000 | 351,344 | 249,123 |
| 1960 | 455,140 | 128,773 | 55,000 | 27,000 | 555,913 | 512,000 | 407,156 | 451,069 |
| 1961 | 320,750 | 53,697 | 56,000 | 27,000 | 345,447 | 484,000 | 241,550 | 102,997 |
| 1962 | 76,390 | 161 | 66,000 | 32,000 | 42,551 | 588,000 | 141,821 | -403,628 |
| 1963 | 79,910 | n/a | 70,000 | 33,000 | 42,910 | 577,000 | 133,585 | -400,505 |
| 1964 | 276,630 | 749 | 70,000 | 32,000 | 239,379 | 560,000 | 197,631 | -122,990 |
| 1965 | 369,190 | 1,275 | 66,000 | 33,000 | 337,465 | 567,000 | 231,485 | 1,950 |
| 1966 | 331,070 | 40,875 | 66,000 | 44,000 | 349,945 | 495,000 | 270,829 | 125,774 |
| 1967 | 1,799,910 | 304,648 | 73,000 | 46,000 | 2,077,558 | 605,000 | 348,601 | 1,821,159 |
| 1968 | 672,990 | 82,190 | 70,000 | 43,000 | 728,180 | 593,000 | 378,792 | 513,972 |
| 1969 | 250,010 | 25,133 | 83,000 | 51,000 | 243,143 | 604,000 | 214,101 | -146,756 |
| 1970 | 358,310 | 63,851 | 84,000 | 47,000 | 385,161 | 557,000 | 359,578 | 187,739 |
| 1971 | 2,537,410 | 237,850 | 82,000 | 51,000 | 2,744,260 | 604,000 | 336,706 | 2,476,966 |
| 1972 | 298,938 | 34,410 | 83,000 | 52,000 | 302,348 | 558,000 | 332,131 | 76,479 |
| 1973 | 1,096,618 | 102,485 | 85,000 | 50,000 | 1,164,103 | 543,000 | 397,092 | 1,018,195 |
| 1974 | 397,379 | 967 | 89,000 | 32,000 | 341,346 | 574,000 | 225,080 | -7,574 |
| 1975 | 385,402 | 19,490 | 87,000 | 53,000 | 370,892 | 559,000 | 230,569 | 42,461 |
| 1976 | 968,060 | 40,786 | 85,000 | 52,000 | 975,846 | 553,000 | 362,324 | 785,170 |
| 1977 | 540,959 | 58,301 | 94,391 | 49,241 | 554,110 | 861,966 | 332,691 | 24,835 |
| 1978 | 249,410 | 104,743 | 96,626 | 49,247 | 306,774 | 836,515 | 504,249 | -25,492 |
| 1979 | 396,628 | 112,216 | 96,040 | 51,381 | 464,185 | 837,431 | 514,150 | 140,904 |
| 1980 | 599,321 | 229,823 | 109,753 | 47,935 | 767,326 | 922,189 | 413,298 | 258,435 |
| 1981 | 1,085,814 | 207,888 | 102,571 | 59,402 | 1,250,533 | 809,878 | 548,564 | 989,219 |


| Year | Gage | Ungaged | Diversion | Return | Combined <br> Surface Inflow* | Evaporation | Precipitation | Freshwater <br> Balance** |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1982 | 226,932 | 42,119 | 107,340 | 63,127 | 224,838 | 877,843 | 286,456 | $-366,549$ |
| 1983 | 121,934 | 73,794 | 101,114 | 66,888 | 161,502 | 861,048 | 485,135 | $-214,411$ |
| 1984 | 116,953 | 26,618 | 98,791 | 58,691 | 103,471 | 958,135 | 290,834 | $-563,830$ |
| 1985 | 491,027 | 99,382 | 94,036 | 60,957 | 557,330 | 846,355 | 486,561 | 197,536 |
| 1986 | 139,852 | 40,691 | 94,801 | 58,689 | 144,431 | 910,115 | 414,198 | $-351,486$ |
| 1987 | 779,421 | 82,916 | 100,850 | 56,534 | 818,021 | 876,268 | 403,462 | 345,215 |
| 1988 | 118,138 | 26,683 | 125,621 | 59,135 | 78,335 | 832,445 | 267,180 | $-486,930$ |
| 1989 | 122,328 | 9,142 | 139,988 | 59,630 | 51,112 | 867,608 | 254,690 | $-561,806$ |
| 1990 | 365,532 | 28,196 | 131,842 | 52,849 | 314,735 | 749,133 | 326,307 | $-108,091$ |
| 1991 | 204,236 | 114,838 | 119,988 | 57,539 | 256,625 | 956,428 | 559,298 | $-140,505$ |
| 1992 | 953,522 | 255,737 | 112,075 | 56,664 | $1,153,848$ | 893,323 | 590,011 | 850,536 |
| 1993 | 239,249 | 162,162 | 114,712 | 56,086 | 342,785 | $1,006,419$ | 538,896 | $-124,738$ |
| 1994 | 198,902 | 77,723 | 82,838 | 49,138 | 242,925 | 748,277 | 403,469 | $-101,883$ |
| 1995 | 176,523 | 98,358 | 90,928 | 41,614 | 225,567 | 779,835 | 456,125 | $-98,143$ |
| 1996 | 120,284 | 14,614 | 94,448 | 29,968 | 70,418 | 846,613 | 281,087 | $-495,108$ |
| 1997 | 291,567 | 191,323 | 103,147 | 29,218 | 408,961 | 678,672 | 619,062 | 349,351 |
| 1998 | 355,778 | 89,716 | 107,481 | 32,118 | 370,131 | 765,646 | 448,480 | 52,965 |
| 1999 | 214,955 | 108,047 | 101,180 | 31,338 | 253,160 | 763,379 | 444,434 | $-65,785$ |
| 2000 | 123,883 | 46,780 | 87,627 | 58,269 | 141,305 | 870,770 | 272,218 | $-457,247$ |
| 2001 | 279,472 | 104,202 | 67,724 | 61,226 | 377,176 | 723,326 | 462,966 | 116,816 |
| 2002 | $2,276,890$ | 188,831 | 66,109 | 76,482 | $2,476,094$ | 707,759 | 598,098 | $2,366,433$ |
| 2003 | 512,915 | 74,506 | 62,106 | 79,191 | 604,506 | 663,145 | 441,571 | 382,932 |
| 2004 | 930,384 | 146,309 | 59,571 | 98,772 | $1,115,894$ | 694,284 | 629,074 | $1,050,684$ |
| 2005 | 234,394 | 38,860 | 67,968 | 95,657 | 300,943 | 745,569 | 296,426 | $-148,200$ |
| 2006 | 106,919 | 82,000 | 67,632 | 85,417 | 206,704 | 748,318 | 349,870 | $-191,744$ |
| 2007 | $1,126,762$ | 188,998 | 61,597 | 85,393 | $1,339,556$ | 665,240 | 555,598 | $1,229,914$ |
| 2008 | 88,422 | 59,341 | 62,624 | 78,040 | 163,179 | 750,800 | 367,304 | $-220,317$ |
| 2009 | 84,386 | 38,434 | 76,388 | 73,878 | 120,310 | 829,170 | 320,082 | $-388,778$ |

*Combined Surface Inflow = Gage + Model - Diversion + Return
**Freshwater Balance = Surface Inflow - Evaporation + Precipitation

Appendix C. Summary statistics for annual freshwater inflow (in acre-feet) over the 1941-2009 period for the Nueces Estuary, version \#TWDB201101.

|  | Gage | Ungaged | Diversion | Return | Combined Surface Inflow | Evaporation | Precipitation | Freshwater Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MIN | 76,390 | 161 | 14,000 | 9,000 | 42,551 | 431,000 | 133,585 | -563,830 |
| $5^{\text {th }} \%$ ile | 95,821 | 3,976 | 21,600 | 12,800 | 73,585 | 448,400 | 165,060 | -475,057 |
| $10^{\text {th }} \%$ ile | 119,855 | 13,475 | 28,800 | 14,800 | 137,106 | 492,800 | 198,364 | -391,123 |
| 25 ${ }^{\text {th }} \%$ ile | 198,902 | 37,428 | 54,000 | 27,000 | 225,548 | 540,000 | 244,295 | -146,756 |
| MEDIAN | 331,070 | 74,150 | 70,000 | 47,000 | 349,945 | 649,000 | 332,131 | 52,965 |
| MEAN | 530,258 | 87,284 | 73,158 | 43,735 | 586,854 | 670,984 | 345,737 | 261,606 |
| 75 ${ }^{\text {th }} \% \mathrm{ile}$ | 672,990 | 112,872 | 94,801 | 58,269 | 763,947 | 829,170 | 419,053 | 458,909 |
| 90 ${ }^{\text {th }} \%$ ile | 1,282,096 | 189,696 | 107,935 | 74,399 | 1,374,674 | 876,583 | 540,830 | 1,230,853 |
| 95 ${ }^{\text {th }} \%$ ile | 1,493,160 | 235,041 | 117,878 | 82,912 | 1,603,340 | 917,359 | 577,726 | 1,517,183 |
| MAX | 2,537,410 | 322,292 | 139,988 | 98,772 | 2,744,260 | 1,006,419 | 629,074 | 2,476,966 |
| TOTAL | 36,587,769 | 5,935,322 | 5,047,907 | 3,017,714 | 40,492,898 | 46,297,902 | 23,855,851 | 18,050,847 |

