GAM run 05-18

by Shirley Wade

Texas Water Development Board Groundwater Availability Modeling Section (512) 463-7847 April 29, 2005

REQUESTOR:

Lonnie Stewart, Bee Groundwater Conservation District, Live Oak Underground Water Conservation District, and McMullen Groundwater Conservation District

DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST:

Mr. Stewart requested the following information from the groundwater availability model (GAM) of the southern part of the Queen City-Sparta and Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer systems (Kelley and others, 2004):

• Water budget in Bee, Live Oak, and McMullen counties.

METHODS:

To address the request, we:

• ran the GAM for the southern part of the Queen City and Sparta aquifers which includes the Carrizo-Wilcox system and queried the budget files for each model layer in Bee, Live Oak, and McMullen counties for the years 2010 and 2020 with long-term average recharge.

PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS:

We used the following assumptions in this analysis:

- see Deeds and others (2003) for additional information concerning the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer and Kelley and others (2004) for Queen City and Sparta aquifer assumptions and limitations;
- the pumpage is based on the 2002 Regional Water Plan demands; and
- recharge represents average conditions for the predictive period.

RESULTS:

Water budget

Table 1 shows the water budget for Bee, Live Oak, and McMullen counties for 2010 and 2020.

The Queen City-Sparta and Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer systems have no outcrop area in the three counties; therefore, the direct infiltration recharge is zero in Table 1. There is a small amount of positive downward flow from younger sediments listed in the "GHB in" column.

Some groundwater conservation districts also include cross-formational flow in their total recharge estimate. Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) rules concerning groundwater management plan certification define recharge as "The addition of water from precipitation or runoff by seepage or infiltration to an aquifer from the land surface, streams, or lakes directly into a formation or indirectly by way of leakage from another formation." We show infiltration from land surface in the recharge column in Table 1. We also show cross-formational leakage into the aquifers in Table 1 in the columns "upper Z flow in and lower Z flow in."

REFERENCES:

Deeds, N., Kelley, V., Fryar, D., and Jones, T., 2003, Groundwater Availability Model for the Southern Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer: Final Report prepared for the Texas Water Development Board by INTERA Inc.

Kelley, V. A., Deeds, N. E., Fryar, D. G., Nicot, J. P., Jones, T. L., Dutton, A. R., Bruehl, G., Unger-Holtz, T., and Machin J. L., 2004, Groundwater availability model for the Queen City and Sparta aquifers: Final report prepared for the Texas Water Development Board by INTERA Inc.

Table 1. 2010 and 2020 flow budget for Bee, Live Oak, and McMullen counties based on the GAM for the central part of the Queen City and Sparta and Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer systems (values in acre-feet per year for average recharge conditions).

					upper		lower								To	otal
County	Lyr	Change		X-flow			Z flow in		Wells	Recharge	ET	Streams	GHB in	GHB out	ln	Out
		in	in	out	in	out		out								
		Storage							2010							
Bee	1	2	2	-1	0	0	27	-44	0	0	0	0	4.4	-31	76	-76
Dee	2	3	2						U	U		U	44	-31		
		3	17	-48	44	-27		-5	0	0	0	0	0	0	81	-80
	3	9	2	-2	5			-5	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	-25 -55
	4	11	31	-47	5	-8		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	-55
	5	6	188	-169	0	8-		-3	-20	0	0	_	0	0	200	-200
	6	7	12	-8	3	-6		0	-20	0	0		0	0	34	-34
	7	5	25	-9	0	-12		0	-20	0	0		0	0	41	-41
	8	-95	260	-134	0	-11		0	-20	0	0		0	0	260	-260
	All	-51	537	-418	57	-89		-57	-80	0	0		44	-31	772	-771
Live Oak	1	148	23	-16	0	C		-1	0	0	0		4	-760	778	-778
	2	46	91	-47	1	-602		0	0	0	0		0	0	649	-649
	3	340	16	-91	0			-1	0	0	0		0	0	602	-602
	4	64	93	-112	1	-246		-1	0	0	0		0	0	358	-358
	5	16	1,001	-578	1	-200		-93	-173	0	0		0	0	1,045	-1,044
	6	27	36	-190	93	-27		0	0	0	0		0	0	219	-219
	7	-16	59	-41	0			0	0	0	0		0	0	128	-128
	8	-428	797	-310	0			0	0	0	0		0	0	797	-797
	All	197	2,116	-1,385	96			-96	-173	0	0		4	-760	4,576	-4,575
McMullen	1	256	403	-488	0	C	2,0.0	-224	-97	0	0		623	-2,519	3,342	-3,342
	2	60	83	-92	224	-2,046		-189	0	0	0		0	0	2,330	-2,330
	3	797	943	-671	189	-1,960	-	-164	-36	0	0		0	0	2,912	-2,912
	4	-8	222	-71	164	-901		-216	0	0	0		0	0	1,234	-1,234
	5	-217	3,687	-1,295	216	-810		-322	-2,022	0	0		0	0	4,669	-4,669
	6	-318	867	-167	322	-762	-	-13	-10	0	0	0	0	0	1,316	-1,316
	7	-175	151	-37	13			-1	-8	0	0		0	0	302	-302
	8	-842	1,218	-232	1	-138		0	-6	0	0		0	0	1,219	-1,219
	All	-447	7,574	-3,053	1,129	-6,698	6,698	-1,129	-2,179	0	0	0	623	-2,519	17,324	-17,324

County		Change in Storage	in	X-flow out	upper		lower								To	al
	•						Z flow in	Z flow out	Wells	Recharge	ET	Streams	GHB in	GHB out	In	Out
		Storage							2020							
Bee	1	6	2	-1	0	0	24	-46	0	0	0	0	45	-30	77	-77
	2	3	16	-52	46	-24		-6	0	0	0	0	0		82	-82
	3	12	2	-3	6	-17		-7	0	0	0	0	0		27	-27
	4	11	29	-50	7	-8	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	-58
	5	7	173	-155	0	-11	8	-2	-20	0	0	0	0	0	188	-188
	6	9	11	-7	2	-8	13	0	-20	0	0	0	0	0	35	-35
	7	2	23	-8	0	-13	15	0	-20	0	0	0	0	0	41	-41
	8	-84	249	-130	0	-15		0	-20	0	0	0	0		249	-249
	All	-34	505	-406	61	-96		-61	-80	0	0	0	45	-30	757	-757
Live Oak	1	118	23	-17	0	0		-2	0	0	0	0	5		727	-727
	2	36	97	-49	2	-581	495	0	0	0	0	0	0		630	-630
	3	259	18	-98	0	-495		0	0	0	0	0	0		593	-593
	4	59	97	-119	0	-316		0	0	0	0	0	0		434	-434
	5	33	980	-539	0	-278		-59	-173	0	0	0	0		1,049	-1,049
	6	34	43	-171	59	-36		0	0	0	0	0	0		207	-207
	7	-25	54	-38	0	-72		0	0	0	0	0	0		139	-139
	8	-377	758	-301	0	-80		0	0	0	0	0	0		758	-758
NA NA 11	All	137	2,070	-1,332	61	-1,858		-61	-173	0	0	0	5		4,537	-4,537
McMullen	1	101	416	-487	0	0 050	_,	-199	-93	0	0	0	643	-2,433	3,227	-3,227
	2	23	88	-91	199	-2,052		-160	0	0	0	0	0		2,308	-2,308
	3	297	1,004	-689 -76	160	-1,995		-60	-29	0	0	0	0		2,849	-2,849
	5	-4 -66	237 3,979	-76 -1,464	60 113	-1,312 -1,208		-113 -216	0 -1,988	0	0	0	0		1,523 4,947	-1,523 -4,947
	6	-00 -157	903	-1,464	216	-1,206 -849		-216 -27	-1,900 -9	0	0	0	0		1,207	-4,947 -1,207
	7	-137	160	-160	27	-049 -84		-27	-9 -7	0	0	0	0		356	-1,207 -356
	8	-236 -851	1,221	-197	0	-64 -169		0	- <i>1</i> -5	0	0	0	0		1,221	-330 -1,221
	All	-893	8,008	-3,193	775	-7,669		-775	-2,131	0	0	0	643	_	17,638	-17,638

Notes:

Layer 1: Sparta aquifer
Layer 2: Weches Formation
Layer 3: Queen City aquifer
Layer 4: Reklaw Formation
Layer 5: Carrizo sand
Layer 6: Upper Wilcox formation

Layer 7: Middle Wilcox formation

Layer 8: Lower Wilcox formation

All: sum of layers

- 1. **Change in Storage** refers to water that is extracted from storage or added to storage.
 - A positive number means water is extracted from storage. A negative number means water is added to storage.
- 2. **GHB** refers to flow into or out of the top of the Sparta from younger sediments.
- 3. **ET** refers to groundwater extraction due to evapotranspiration.
- 4. **X-flow in** refers to lateral flow into the county.
- 5. **X-flow out** refers to lateral flow out of the county.
- 6. **upper Z-flow in** refers to flow into the layer from the layer above.
- 7. **upper Z-flow out** refers to flow out of the layer into the layer above.
- 8. **lower Z-flow in** refers to flow into the layer from the layer below.
- 9. **lower Z-flow out** refers to flow out of the layer into the layer below.
- 10. **Wells** is for pumping.
- 11. A negative sign refers to flow out of the layer in the county.
- 12. A positive sign refers to flow into the layer in the county.
- 13. The numbers are rounded to the nearest 1 acre-foot. Values in the water budget are probably only accurate to two significant figures.