

From: "Fennessey, Karl" <Karl.D.Fennessey@conocophillips.com>
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 5/30/2008 2:50 PM
Subject: FW: REMINDER: Submit Comments Today

Attachments: Flowchart.ppt;
Attachments: Metrics and Trends Progress Report.doc;
Attachments: Municipal Defined Te
Attachments: rms.doc

CC: [REDACTED]

Karen,

A few comments:

In the 'Municipal defined terms' you may want to add a section for Agriculture. I don't know how many sales there are to Ag, but it is possible to have. I have tried to modify inside the document.

For the 'Metrics and Trends progress report'. I would suggest instead of inventing a methodology for estimating people, we list a descending preference. The idea is we get a close number - not perfect. If we try to create a method, it may be a lot of work for groups, may have additional unknown flaws (even the census is criticized for not being accurate), etc. but we should use what exists and have them report.

The list of preferred methods in descending order might be something like:

1. 2000 Census data.
2. More recent local city/county survey.
3. Number of taps on municipality*avg family size (~2 kids)
4. Best estimate (please specify).

Thanks,

Karl Fennessey
ConocoPhillips